

# LEGIBILITY NOTICE

A major purpose of the Technical Information Center is to provide the broadest dissemination possible of information contained in DOE's Research and Development Reports to business, industry, the academic community, and federal, state and local governments.

Although a small portion of this report is not reproducible, it is being made available to expedite the availability of information on the research discussed herein.

LA-UR--89-3353

DE90 002434

**TITLE** Fusion Fuel Pellet Injection and Pellet Ion Systems Test Assembly 3 Week Loop Experiment

**AUTHOR(S)**

P. Scott Wilkins  
Tritium Systems Test Assembly  
Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

**SUBMITTED TO**

14th Symposium on Fusion Engineering, October 2-6, 1989,  
Annoy Hill, TN.

**DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

The appearance of this notice on the publisher's copyright page indicates that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution or to allow others to do so for U.S. Government purposes.

Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

 Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

# FUSION FUEL PURIFICATION DURING THE TRITIUM SYSTEMS TEST ASSEMBLY 3-WEEK LOOP EXPERIMENT

R. Scott Willis  
Tritium Systems Test Assembly  
Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

## INTRODUCTION

During the time period from 4/19 - 5/5/89, the Tritium Systems Test Assembly (TSTA) at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) conducted its longest continuous integrated loop operation to date. This provided an opportunity to test some hitherto unproven capabilities of the TSTA Fuel Cleanup System (FCU). Previous FCU tests were reported in [1]. The purpose of the FCU is to remove impurities from a stream of hydrogen isotopes ( $Q_2$ ) representative of torus exhaust gas. During this run impurity loadings ranging from 60 to 179 sccm of 90%  $N_2$  and 10%  $CH_4$  were fed to the FCU. Each of the two FCU main flow molecular sieve beds (MSB's) were filled to breakthrough three times. The MSB's were regenerated during loop operations.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE TSTA FCU

### Purification

The FCU, shown schematically in Figure 1, employs 7 K MSB's (Linde 5A) to remove impurities from  $Q_2$ . MSB1 and MSB2 are used to purify the main flow path (nominally 50/50 D/T), while MSB3 and MSB4 purify the neutral beam gas (nominally 100%  $D_2$ ). During this run impurities were only added to the main flow path. Before the impurities break through on one bed, its exhaust is routed to the inlet of the companion bed (path not shown). In this way an MSB can be taken to 100% loading, leaving an insignificant amount of co-adsorbed  $Q_2$  when an MSB is regenerated.




Figure 1. FCU Flow Schematic

The purpose of catalytic reactor 1 (CR1) is to cause any free oxygen impurity to combine with  $Q_2$  so that an explosive mixture will not develop on the MSB's. The molecular sieve bed freezer (MSBF) serves to keep water out of the MSB's. If water reaches the MSB's, higher regeneration temperatures are required.

### Regeneration

When an MSB breaks through (detected by gas chromatography), flow is diverted exclusively to the companion bed and the bed needing regeneration is taken off line. Since the adsorbed impurities contain tritium (in this case, as  $CH_3T$ ), the impurities cannot simply be exhausted to the tritium

waste treatment system (TWT). Rather, as the bed is warmed and the impurities desorb, they are mixed with  $O_2/He$  before being admitted to catalytic reactor 2 (CR2). Therein, tritium-containing impurities are converted to  $Q_2O$  and other oxides (in this case,  $CO_2$ ). The  $Q_2O$  is captured as ice in the deuterium tritium oxide freezer (DFOF), and the remaining impurities containing negligible tritium are exhausted to the TWT.

A mixture of 5%  $O_2$  and 95%  $He$  is used to mix with the impurities. This supplies the oxygen necessary for combustion, but will not form an explosive mixture. The amount of  $O_2/He$  added is controlled by an oxygen monitor in the CR2 exhaust. Generally the control system is set to maintain a 2%  $O_2$  concentration at this point.

Periodically the DFOF must be regenerated. This involves heating the DFOF and driving the water over a hot metal bed (HMB) which contains uranium. Therein, the water is reduced to uranium oxide (solid) and  $Q_2$  (gas) which is readmitted to the FCU main flow. Table 1 summarizes the properties of each FCU component.

## SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS

### Narrative

On day 0 of the run (4/19/89), as seen on Figure 2, loop flow was started through MSB1. The following day impurities addition was begun. Late on day 2 flow was routed from the exhaust of MSB1 to the inlet of MSB2 so that MSB1 could be taken to complete breakthrough which occurred on day 3. Flow was then routed through MSB2 alone and impurities were turned off while MSB1 was regenerated (on some of the subsequent regenerations impurities addition remained on).

Table 1. FCU Components

Component	Contents	Operating Temperature (K)
CR1	387 gm Precious Metal Catalyst	450
CR2	387 gm Precious Metal Catalyst	800
DFOF	3 L Filled Vessel	160
HMB4,5	6 Fg Plutonium	950
MSB1,2,3,4	1.6 Fg 5A Molecular Sieve	77
MSBF1,2	3 L Filled Vessel	160

Loop flow was interrupted on day 4 due to an  $N_2$  plug in the isotope separation system (ISS). After loop flow resumed on day 5, an excessive pressure buildup occurred upstream of MSB2 due to a water plug. Flow was diverted through MSB1 while MSB2 was regenerated. After resuming flow through MSB2, it broke through on day




Figure 2. Summary of FCU Operations

Soon thereafter a number of problems were encountered. It was discovered that the loop flow was inadvertently opened to the ISS surge tank, resulting in a low loop flow inventory. After this was corrected, an ISS plug, possibly caused by  $O_2$  ice, occurred. Loop flow resumed on day 8. At this point a water ice plug in MSBF1 necessitated routing loop flow through MSB2 until MSBF1 could be regenerated. Resuming MSB1 flow resulted in its breakthrough on day 9. Though these problems were somewhat disheartening, the quick resolution of them, resulting in the continuation of operations, attest to the versatility of the TSTA piping layout.

From this point on, operations became somewhat routine. MSB2 broke through on day 11, and impurities were off at the end of this day to arrange for the convenient timing of the MSB1 breakthrough on day 13. The last loading of MSB2 was completed on day 15 after which impurities addition was turned off.

Each MSB breakthrough was followed by its regeneration. The DTOF was regenerated after the first MSB regeneration, and then not again until the last day of the experiment.

#### Impurities Addition

Impurities (90%  $N_2$  and 10%  $CH_4$ ) were mixed with the main TSTA loop flow in the transfer pumping unit (TPU) upstream of FCU. Usually the impurities addition flowrate was 60 sccm, but other flowrates of 92, 120, 179 sccm were also used. Impurities addition was on for 10.2 days (discontinuously) resulting in a total of 967 liters (870.3 liters  $N_2$  and 96.7 liters  $CH_4$ ) added to the loop. Table 2 shows the quantity of gas adsorbed on each bed at breakthrough. The average quantity of impurities adsorbed per bed was 161.2 liters. This is 84% of the theoretical capacity of these 1.6 Kg beds. The remaining 16% of the bed is believed to be occupied by water which cannot be driven off of the beds because of their 500 °F temperature limit (a temperature of about 600 °F is required for completely drying molecular sieves).

Table 2. Molecular Sieve Bed Performance

Bed	Loading #	
	1	2
Liters Loaded		
MSB1	162.1*	159.1
MSB2	162.1*	158.0

\* Precise loading information was not available for these loadings since the exact MSB1 breakthrough time is not known.




Figure 3. MSB Breakthrough at Two Impurities Addition Rates

Figure 3 shows two typical MSB breakthrough curves. The markers represent the  $N_2$  concentration at a point 3/4 down the length of the MSB. The methane is not observed at breakthrough because it is more strongly adsorbed and is trapped at the bed inlet. The lines represent the  $N_2$  concentrations in the feed to the MSB based on the ratio of  $N_2$  addition flowrate to the total loop flowrate. In the case of the solid circles and dashed line, the impurities were being added at 120 sccm during the breakthrough, while the impurities flowrate for the squares and solid line was 60 sccm. In both cases the breakthrough  $N_2$  concentration is higher than the  $N_2$  feed concentration. This phenomenon is called "roll-up" or "roll-over" behavior [2]. It is caused by the  $CH_4$  displacing previously adsorbed  $N_2$  from the front of the bed. Thus, the measured  $N_2$  concentration is the sum of the  $N_2$  in the feed and the  $CH_4$  displaced  $N_2$ .

The tritium inventory on an MSB varies as it progresses from preloading to impurities loading to regeneration. Before impurities are loaded on a bed, it is cooled to 77 K and preloaded with  $O_2$ . This requires 148 liters of DT which represents 20 grams of tritium. As impurities are loaded on the bed, the  $O_2$  is displaced and returned to the loop. When a bed is completely loaded with impurities the tritium inventory on the bed depends on the amount of tritium in the impurities. In this experiment, if the  $CH_4$  exchanged to  $CH_3DT$  (a reasonable estimate of what actually occurred), the tritium inventory on a bed would be 2.2 grams. This inventory is returned as  $O_2$  to the loop when the DTOF is regenerated. Neither the operation of FCU nor the operation of other TSTA systems (most notably ISS) were adversely affected by these changes in MSB inventories.

#### MSB Regeneration

Regeneration of an MSB was accomplished by first starting the  $O_2/He$  flow through CR2 and the DTOF, and out to the TWT. Then a 1.5 SLPM He purge was started to sweep desorbing gases out of the MSB to CR2 while the MSB was heated. The gas evolution from the bed could be monitored using gas chromatography (GC) to sample the helium purge as it exited the MSB. These analyses could be performed at a maximum frequency of one every four minutes.

The results of such analysis are shown in Figure 4. The markers represent the  $CH_4$  (squares) and  $N_2$  (triangles) concentrations. The solid line is the

temperature measured at the center of the bed. The reason for the broad nature of these desorption curves is related to the MSB configuration. The beds are constructed of two hemi-cylinders oriented with the cylinder axis vertical (process flow is down one hemi-cylinder, then up the other side, and out). This assembly is submerged in a liquid nitrogen bath. During regeneration the liquid nitrogen level drops as it boils away. This creates significant temperature gradients. Gas desorption begins at the top of the bed and eventually works its way to the bottom. This broad desorption pattern is advantageous since it prevents overloading of the  $O_2/He$  addition system.

The  $O_2/He$  addition rate was automatically adjusted to maintain a 2%  $O_2$  concentration at the CR2 exhaust. The resulting  $O_2/He$  flowrate is shown in Figure 5. As expected the maximum flowrate coincided with the maximum  $CH_4$  concentration. Each mole of  $CH_4$  requires 2 moles of  $O_2$ , while the only effect of  $N_2$  on the  $O_2/He$  is that of dilution.

Also monitored during regeneration was the radiation of the gas leaving FCU for the TWT. This is shown in Figure 6. The radiation values recorded were only the background readings of the ion chamber. Thus, no measurable tritium was lost in this step of an MSB regeneration.

Though anticipated as a possible problem, no plugging (due to ice formation in the inlet) of the DTOF occurred.

#### DTOF Regeneration

The last step in the FCU operations is the regeneration of the DTOF. The system is designed for DTO steam to pass over hot uranium where it is converted to uranium oxide and  $O_2$  gas. However, when




Figure 6. MSB Regeneration: Gas Evolution and Radiation

this step was attempted, the MSBF's plugged with ice in their inlet tubing (heater tape should solve this plugging problem in the future). The fact that so much water passed unconverted through the HMB indicates that conversion was quite poor. The bed was well activated and had plenty of capacity, 60%, before being used. However, after completion of this run the HMB capacity was measured and found to be reduced to 15%, much more than could be accounted for by the 8.6 moles of water produced during the experiment. Though it is unclear what caused this loss of capacity, it is feared that the formation of oxides on outer surfaces is preventing access to active material beneath the surface.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The two principle findings of this experiment were:

- o The use of cryogenic molecular sieve is a very robust method for removing impurities from fusion fuels. While loop flowrates, pressures and impurities concentrations varied, the MSB's continued unaffected, completely removing impurities. No ISS plugging occurred during this run due to impurities passing through the MSB's.
- o The first step in the MSB regeneration process also proved to be very effective. An automatic system, requiring minimal operator action, added the appropriate amount of  $O_2/He$  for complete impurities oxidation. No measurable tritium was lost to the TWT.

The principle problem uncovered during this experiment was the poor conversion of water to  $HO_2$  and  $O_2$ . Work is continuing to determine why this process did not work as designed.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Scott Williams, "Recent Operating Results at the Tritium Systems Test Assembly Using Molecular Sieve at 77K for Purifying a Fusion Fuel Process Stream", Presented at the First International Symposium on Fusion Nuclear Technology, Tokyo, April 10-15, 1988.
- [2] Ralph T. Yang, Gas Separation by Adsorption Processes, Boston: Butterworth, 1987, p. 173.




Figure 5. MSB Regeneration: Gas Evolution and Temperature




Figure 5. MSB Regeneration: Gas Evolution and  $O_2/He$  Flowrate